

Patrick J. Harrington Direct: 416.865.3424 E-mail:pharrington@airdberlis.com

July 10, 2018

Our File No.: 145315

VIA E-MAIL & COURIER

Susan M. Stone, C.A.O./Clerk Township of Amaranth 374028 6th Line Amaranth, Ontario L9W 0M6

suestone@amaranth-eastgary.ca

Dear Ms. Stone:

Re: Notice of Appeal by Sarah Properties Ltd.

Filed Pursuant to Subsection 22(7) of the *Planning Act*

Application to Amend the Township of Amaranth Official Plan

Township File No.: OPA2-15

We act on behalf of Sarah Properties Ltd. ("Sarah Properties") with respect to lands located north of County Road 109, east of the Amaranth-East Luther Townline, and west of 10th Line. The lands are approximately 35 hectares (86 acres) and are situated in the west portion of the Community of Waldemar (the "Site").

Sarah Properties filed *Planning Act* applications with the Township of Amaranth in June 2015. The applications sought official plan and zoning by-law amendments as well as the approval of a draft plan of subdivision to permit the development of the Site for 334 single-detached residential homes. The lots are proposed to be serviced via a communal wastewater treatment system and an extension of the existing municipal water system to the Site.

As will be detailed below, the only reason an official plan amendment was filed by Sarah Properties was because the Township of Amaranth Official Plan directs that <u>all</u> proposals to develop land using communal services require an amendment to the Official Plan.

On June 13, 2018, Township Council resolved to refuse Sarah Properties' request for an official plan amendment. The Notice of Decision issued by the Township (dated June 21, 2018) lists the following as the Township's reason for its refusal:

- a) "...inappropriate consideration of the implications of the proposed Official Plan Amendment and resulting scale of development on the rural characteristics of the Waldemar rural settlement in accordance with Policy 1.1.4.3 of the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) and therefore the proposed Official Plan Amendment is inconsistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014)";
- b) "The proposed Official Plan Amendment and resulting lot yield does not conform to the Dufferin County Official Plan and Township of Amaranth Official Plan"; and

c) "The financial impact of the proposed communal wastewater treatment system and the resultant future obligations placed upon the Township of Amaranth have not been satisfactorily addressed."

In addition, the Township's Notice provides the following comments on Sarah Properties' proposal for a communal wastewater treatment system:

Council determined that a Municipal Responsibility Agreement for residential development as required as part of the proposal is not a responsibility that Township Council views is within the public interest, and therefore the Township shall not be entering into a Municipal Responsibility Agreement to support and guarantee the provision of communal wastewater services to service the Subject Lands owned by Sarah Properties Ltd.

Please accept this letter, the attached LPAT form, and the enclosed firm cheque for \$300.00, as Sarah Properties' appeal of Township Council's refusal of the official plan amendment that was filed to permit communal services at the Site.

Planning Act Test

Section 22(8) of the *Planning Act* was amended by Bill 139 to require an appellant of a private official plan amendment application to (a) explain how the existing part of the official plan that would be affected by the requested amendment is inconsistent with a provincial policy statement, fails to conform with or conflicts with a provincial plan, or fails to conform with the upper-tier official plan; and (b) explain how the requested amendment is consistent with/conforms with the foregoing policy documents.

In Sarah Properties' case, the requested private official plan amendment does not seek to amend a designation, policy, map or schedule of the Amaranth Official Plan. An official plan amendment was filed to address Policy 4.2.4(d) of the Amaranth Official Plan, which states that an amendment to the Official Plan is required if any proposal to develop lands utilizes communal services. As will be noted below, Sarah Properties' residential proposal does not require any actual amendments or revisions to the Amaranth Official Plan. It was filed as a <u>process</u> requirement, yet was refused by the Township for reasons that go beyond process.

Reasons for Appeal

The community of Waldemar, including the Site, is within a rural settlement area. Under the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement, rural settlement areas are to be the focus of growth and development. Their vitality and regeneration is to be promoted by local municipal authorities, while also giving consideration to rural characteristics, the scale of development and the provision of appropriate service levels. With respect to services, where municipal sewage and/or water services are not available, municipalities are to allow the use of private communal services (see Policy 1.6.6.3).

Similar policies are provided under the 2017 Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe. Rural settlement areas are recognized as key to the vitality and economic well-being of rural communities. New residential development is to be actively directed towards these settlement areas. With respect to services, the policies of the 2017 Growth Plan mirror the 2014 PPS in encouraging communal systems where municipal services are not available. The criteria for

AIRD BERLIS

considering new or expanded communal systems include a proposed strategy for water conservation and other water demand management initiatives, the planning of potential expansions to serve growth that supports intensification and density targets, and the consideration of interprovincial, bi-national or state-provincial agreements (see Policy 3.2.5.4).

The Township does not provide wastewater treatment anywhere within the municipality. Consequently, the Sarah Properties proposal includes a communal wastewater treatment system as well as proposing the expansion of the existing Waldemar municipal water system. The official plan amendment process was integrated with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Study (prepared by C.C. Tatham and Associates Ltd.), which sought to identify the preferred solution for wastewater treatment and effluent disposal for the proposal. At the conclusion of Stage 2 of the EA Study, C.C. Tatham confirmed to the Township that a communal waste water treatment plant (WWTP) with treated effluent discharge to the Grand River was the preferred servicing solution. In addition, a Functional Servicing and Preliminary Stormwater Management Report (prepared by Crozier and Associates) was submitted with the Sarah Properties applications to fully justify and explain how the proposed services will meet all regulatory requirements.

Despite this information, the Township refused the Sarah Properties official plan amendment application. As part of the Township's decision, issues of financial impact and a refusal to enter into a Municipal Responsibility Agreement "in the public interest" were raised. With due respect to the Township, the public record will show that neither of these concerns were expressed during the extended period of municipal processing of the applications. The Township's refusal to allow Sarah Properties to move forward with a communal wastewater system was only raised at the end of the process (without any meaningful opportunity to respond) and came as a surprise to Sarah Properties, given the provincial directives in support of such systems.

The Township's reasons for refusal also refer to Policy 1.1.4.3 of the 2014 PPS, which indicates that planning authorities shall give consideration to rural characteristics, the scale of development and the provision of appropriate services. However, as noted above, an official plan amendment was only required in this case because the Amaranth Official Plan requires that an OPA be filed whenever a communal servicing system is proposed. No changes to the policies, maps or schedules of the Site are proposed, which means the Sarah Properties proposal conforms with the rural characteristics and scale of development applicable to the Site under the Amaranth Official Plan (which, according to Policy 4.7 of the 2014 PPS, is the most important vehicle for the implementation of rural protection policies like Policy 1.1.4.3).

The Dufferin County Official Plan identifies the Site as being within a *Community Settlement Area*. As is the case with other settlement areas within the County, the Wademar Community within Amaranth is to be the focus of public and private investment in growth. Land within the *Community Settlement Area* is to be used efficiently and promoted for healthy, sustainable communities developed through compact urban form, efficient use of infrastructure and the provision of a range of land uses. Importantly in the context of the Sarah Properties official plan amendment, the County of Dufferin Official Plan states that where municipal sewage services are not provided, municipalities may allow the use of private communal sewage services (see Policy 7.3.1). Accordingly, there is no conformity issue with the County Official Plan. In fact, the opposite is true – the County Official Plan specifically permits municipalities to authorize compact urban development within settlement areas based on efficient communal systems. This is precisely the case with Sarah Properties' proposal for the Site.



Under the Amaranth Official Plan, the Site is designated *Community Residential* and forms a natural extension to existing development within the Waldemar Community. In fact, the Site had been approved in 1980 for a residential plan of subdivision of 128 lots. This draft plan lapsed in 1996, but the policies associated with the Site under the Amaranth Official Plan (which were updated in 1996 through OPA 10) continue to indicate the future residential development potential of the Site. The Amaranth Official Plan was updated again in 2010 (through OPA 4) to bring the Official Plan into conformity with the Greenbelt Plan and the Growth Plan. Throughout all of these updates, the Site remained designated for residential development associated with growth directed to the Waldemar Community.

Under the Amaranth Official Plan, communal services are the preferred means of servicing multiple lots/units in areas where full municipal sewage and water services are not or cannot be provided, where site conditions are suitable over the long term (see Policy 4.2.4 b) ii)).

The design, lot sizes and lot yield of the Sarah Properties proposal are reflective of current land use planning policy, and respectful of what exists on surrounding lands in Waldemar. Our clients' consultants are firmly of the opinion that the development will reinforce and enhance the local community character while respecting its immediate context. The Site is of a size and character capable of accommodating the proposed level of residential development and the engineering reports provided to the Township clearly demonstrate that the proposed communal sewage system can be deployed in an efficient and effective manner.

The Township's assertions that the Sarah Properties proposal would not be in keeping with the rural character of Waldemar, would cause negative financial impacts to the municipality, and would require a Municipal Responsibility Agreement "that Township Council views is [not] within the public interest" are each demonstrably false. But more significantly, each of these assertions by the Township are contrary to Provincial, Regional and Local growth management policies that encourage and invite precisely the type of residential development that Sarah Properties is pursuing for the Site.

For the foregoing reasons, and others, Sarah Properties asks that the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal direct the Township to reconsider its refusal of its Official Plan Amendment. More particularly, as the Official Plan Amendment at issue was a <u>process</u> requirement only, Sarah Properties asks that the Tribunal direct the Township to reconsider its stance in opposition to residential development within Waldemar on communal services.

Potential for Resolution

Sarah Properties would welcome the opportunity to have its planning and engineering consultants meet with staff and representatives for both Dufferin and Amaranth (and if necessary, the Province) to determine if a more appropriate resolution of the Official Plan Amendment filed pursuant to Policy 4.2.4(d) of the Amaranth Official Plan. This could be done through Tribunal-led mediation or Provincial facilitation if deemed appropriate.

Further Appeals

Township Council has not yet issued decisions on Sarah Properties applications for zoning bylaw amendment and draft plan of subdivision approval. We anticipate that our client may elect to appeal these non-decisions to the Tribunal. If these appeals are files, our request is that they be administratively consolidated by the Tribunal so that the appeals can be heard together.

AIRD BERLIS

Contact

The undersigned will have carriage of this matter on behalf of Sarah Properties. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Yours truly,

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Patrick J. Harrington (

c. D. Hannam W. Broos

S. Zakem

33089094.2



Environment and Land Tribunals Ontario Local Planning Appeal Tribunal

655 Bay Street, Suite 1500 Toronto ON M5G 1E5

Appellant Form (A1)

Receipt Number (LPAT Office Use

VINCEST: BELLE INCLUSION ON THE PROPERTY OF TH	Toll Free:	116-212-6349 1-866-448-2248 www.elto.gov.on.ca	Only	AT Case Number (LPAT Office Use
To file an appeal,	select one or more	e below *		
		for Official Plans and amendmelaws, Site Plans, Minor Variand		
to Section 1	B. NOTE: Bill 139,	ct matter for Official Plans and a Building Better <i>Communities a</i> Act matters previously determin	and Conserving Watersheds	aws and amendments, proceed s <i>Act</i> , 2017, allows appeals to
	other matters, includitage, proceed to Se	ling Development Charges, <i>Ed</i> ection 1C	ucation Act, Aggregate Res	sources Act, Municipal Act and
Address and/or Le North of County I Municipality * Township of Ama	Road 109, East of	operty subject to the appeal * the Amaranth-East Luther T	ownline, and west of 10t	h Line
	le: county, district, r	region)		
Note: You must no	ector Information etify the LPAT of any fter they have been	change of address or telephorassigned.	t Name	e quote your LPAT Case/File
Company Name or Sarah Properties		(Association must be incorpora	ted – include copy of letter	of incorporation)
Email Address wbroos@rogers.c	com			
Daytime Telephone 519-539-7116	e Number *	ext.	Alternate Telephone N	umber
Mailing Address	1	1		1
Unit Number	Street Number * 836	Street Name * Normandy Drive		PO Box
City/Town * Woodstock		Province * Ontario	Country * Canada	Postal Code * N4T 0E6

4. Representativ	e Information					a 1 (A)	Back of Box
✓ I hereby authori	ze the named compa	any and/or individual(s) t	o repres	ent me			
Last Name Harrington			First Name Patrick				
Company Name Aird & Berlis LLP							
Professional Title Solicitor							
Email Address pharrington@aird	berlis.oom						
Daytime Telephone Number 416-865-3424 ext			Alternate Telephone Number				
Mailing Address				-			
Unit Number 1800	Street Number 181	Street Name Bay Street					PO Box
City/Town Toronto		Province ON			Country Canada		Postal Code M5J 2T9
authorization this by check I certify that I	, as required by the L ing the box below. have written authoriz	ant and are not licensed PAT's Rules of Practice ation from the appellant may be asked to produc	e and Pro to act as	ocedure, s a repre	to act on behalf of t sentative with respe	the appel	llant. Please confirm
5. Subject Inform Municipal Reference OPA2-15	<u> 1960-196</u> 6 of Staff Karl And Staff Andrew						
on the LPAT websit	e [http://elto.gov.on.d	an Amendments, Zoning ca/tribunals/lpat/lpat-pro based on requirement A	cess/] de	etailing th	e requirement to se	et out the	
A: If you are appea	ling a decision of a	Council or Approval Aut	hority, οι	utline wh	ch part of the decis	ion is:*	
✓ Inconsistent	with the Provincial F	Policy Statement, issued	l under s	ubsectio	n 3(1) of the <i>Plannir</i>	ng Act	
	orm with or conflicts v	with a provincial plan		•			
Please explain: *	ed covering letter.	o omolar rian					
And							
		or decision to refuse o e Official Plan [22(7)] or				4(11),	
_	with the provincial po ith a provincial plan	olicy statement, issued u	ınder sub	section	3(1) of the <i>Planning</i>	Act	
_	•	nicipality's Official Plan	or an app	olicable (Official Plan		
Please explain: * Please see attach	ed covering letter.						

3049E (2018/06) Page 3 of 5

Outline the nature of the appeal and the reasons for the appeal
Oral/written submissions to council
If applicable, did you make your opinions regarding this matter known to council?
Oral submissions at a public meeting of council
Written submissions to council
Planning Act matters only
Applicable only to official plans/amendments, zoning by-laws/amendments and minor variances that came into effect/were passed on or after July 1, 2016. (Bill 73)
Is the 2-year no application restriction under section 22(2.2) or 34(10.0.0.2) or 45(1.4) applicable?
Yes ✓ No
6. Related Matters
Are there other appeals not yet filed with the Municipality?
✓ Yes No
Are there other matters related to this appeal? (For example: A consent application connected to a variance application)
✓ Yes No ▼
if yes, please provide LPAT Case Number(s) and/or Municipal File Number(s) Zoning By-law Amendment Application: Z4-15 Plan of Subdivision Application: S2-15
7. Case Information
For Planning Act appeals selected in Section 1A for Subsections 17(24), 17(36), 17(40), 22(7), 34(11), 34(19), and 51(34):
Detail the nature and/or expertise of witnesses you will have available should the Tribunal Member require oral evidence at the proceeding. (For example: land use planner, architect, engineer, etc.) Three (3) Witnesses would be required, a Land Use Planner, an Environmental Engineer, and a Servcing Engineer
For all other appeal types :
Describe expert witness(es)' area of expertise (For example: land use planner, architect, engineer, etc.).
8. Required Fee
Total Fee Submitted * \$ 300
Payment Method * ▶ ☐ Certified cheque ☐ Money Order ☑ Lawyer's general or trust account cheque

For all other appeal types

3049F (2018/06) Page 4 of 5

9. Declaration

I solemnly declare that all of the statements and the information provided, as well as any supporting documents are true, correct and complete.

Name of Appellant/Representative	Signature of Appellant/Representative	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)
Patrick J. Harrington		2018/07/10

Personal information or documentation requested on this form is collected under the provisions of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990 c. P. 13 and the *Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Act*. After an appeal is filed, all information relating to this appeal may become available to the public.

3049F (2018/06) Page 5 of 5